



Muscle assessment using multi-frequency bioimpedance in a healthy Danish population aged 20–69 years

a powerful non-invasive tool in sports and in the clinic

Bartels, Else Marie; Andersen, Eva Littrup; Olsen, Jack Kvistgaard; Kristensen, Lars Erik; Bliddal, Henning; Danneskiold-Samsøe, Bente; Harrison, Adrian Paul

Published in:
Physiological Reports

DOI:
[10.14814/phy2.14109](https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14109)

Publication date:
2019



Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
[CC BY](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Bartels, E. M., Andersen, E. L., Olsen, J. K., Kristensen, L. E., Bliddal, H., Danneskiold-Samsøe, B., & Harrison, A. P. (2019). Muscle assessment using multi-frequency bioimpedance in a healthy Danish population aged 20–69 years: a powerful non-invasive tool in sports and in the clinic. *Physiological Reports*, 7(11), [e14109]. <https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14109>

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Muscle assessment using multi-frequency bioimpedance in a healthy Danish population aged 20–69 years: a powerful non-invasive tool in sports and in the clinic

Else Marie Bartels^{1,2,3} , Eva Littrup Andersen¹, Jack Kvistgaard Olsen¹, Lars Erik Kristensen^{1,4}, Henning Bliddal^{1,4}, Bente Danneskiold-Samsøe^{1,4} & Adrian Paul Harrison⁵ 

¹ The Parker Institute, Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg & Frederiksberg, Frederiksberg, Denmark

² Department of Neurology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg & Frederiksberg, Copenhagen, Denmark

³ Copenhagen Center for Translational Research, Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg & Frederiksberg, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁴ Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health & Medical Sciences, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵ Pathobiological Sciences (Physiology), Faculty of Health & Medical Sciences, Copenhagen University, Frederiksberg, Denmark

Keywords

Health, impedance, multi-frequency bioimpedance, muscle mass, skeletal muscle.

Correspondence

Else Marie Bartels, Department of Neurology N, Bispebjerg Hospital entrance 60, Ebba Lunds Vej 44, 2400 Copenhagen, Denmark.
Tel: 38635770
Fax: 38165159
E-mail: else.marie.bartels@regionh.dk

Funding Information

The Parker Institute is supported by a grant from the OAK Foundation (OCAY-13-309), and the project was directly supported by Erna Hamilton's Fund and Selmont A/S. The grant givers had no influence on the protocol, study, data handling, or interpretation of the data.

Received: 8 April 2019; Revised: 29 April 2019; Accepted: 8 May 2019

doi: 10.14814/phy2.14109

Physiol Rep, 7 (11), 2019, e14109,
<https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14109>

Abstract

The condition of active muscles determines an individual's ability to carry out daily activities and has implications for an athlete's performance. Multi-frequency bioimpedance (mfBIA) is a non-invasive, well-known, validated, and much used method to assess muscle condition. However, it is rarely used to its full potential. Our aim was to apply mfBIA fully in the assessment of an adult healthy population, to compare muscle condition in different functional rested muscle groups, with age, and between men and women, and establish a control data set. Fifty healthy subjects (25 men/25 women) aged 20–69 years, participated. mfBIA measurements at a frequency range of 4–1000 kHz were taken from muscles of the lower and the upper extremities, the upper back, and the hand. Data were analyzed using ImpediMed software, giving Impedance, Resistance, Reactance, Phase Angle, Center Frequency, external and internal Resistance, and Membrane Capacitance. Differences between means were tested for statistical significance. A *P* value >0.05 was considered non-significant. While no difference in the mfBIA parameters was seen with age, a highly significant gender difference was seen. At rest, women's muscles cf men's showed a significantly higher center frequency and intra- and extra-cellular resistance, while the membrane capacitance was lower. A set of values for mfBIA parameters for healthy adult individuals are given for some of the main muscles which are frequently part of muscle assessment. The documented gender difference in muscle condition at rest has important implications in work situations, during physical rehabilitation and when training for competitive sports.

Introduction

The condition of involved muscles when wishing to carry out a task, such as lifting a weight or simply walking, is important to a subject's stamina and ability to carry out daily activities, as well as for an athlete's performance success.

An individual's muscle mass and composition are decided by genetic base, age and environment (van

Praagh and Doré 2002; Kasper 2011; Costa et al. 2012; Landi et al. 2012, 2015; Garatachea and Lucia 2013; Giordani and Puri 2013), presence of musculoskeletal diseases (Felin et al. 2007; Henriksen et al. 2009; Winters and Rudolph 2014; Colombo et al. 2015; Davis et al. 2015; Hall et al. 2015; Jones and Wortmann 2015), as well as by training/fitness level (Fiatarone et al. 1990; Bousquet-Santos et al. 2006; Arnold and Bautmans 2014; Chung

et al. 2015). Prior to carrying out a muscle task, it is therefore useful to know the condition of the muscle in question. In many movements like walking, involving both anatomical halves (left-side vs. right-side) of the body, it is well known that specific myofascial kinetic lines are activated (Stecco et al. 2013). It is also important to know the balance between the left-side and the right-side muscles to assure not only a stabilizing role of these muscles, but also a balanced power stroke. In other movements like bending of the elbow, the condition of the agonist and antagonist muscles likewise influences movement. For the purpose of assessing muscle condition prior to movement, multi-frequency bioimpedance (mfBIA) (de Luca 1997; Hermens et al. 1999) which is a well-known, validated, and much used method in both sports clubs and in the clinic, may be used (Gerdle et al. 1997; Bernardi et al. 1999; Karlsson and Gerdle 2001; Bajaj et al. 2002; Larsson et al. 2006; Moon et al. 2008; Harrison et al. 2012, 2013; Lukaski 2013). mfBIA has been shown to characterize the relative changes in hydration as well as monitor changes in cellular function in a noninvasive way (Nescolarde et al. 2013a,b). The principle values obtained are Impedance (Z), Resistance (R), Reactance (Xc), Phase Angle (PA), Center Frequency (fc), external (Re) and internal Resistance (Ri), and Membrane Capacitance (Mc). R, is the ohmic resistance and Z the impedance of a measured tissue, which in uniform muscle are very comparable values (Z slightly higher than R) (Ivorra 2003; Van der Aa Kuhle et al. 2006). Xc, measures the delay in the current passing through the cell membrane and tissue interfaces (Ivorra 2003). Fc provides a measure for how dense a muscle is at rest (Elbrønd et al. 2015). Mc gives an indication of transport activity over the muscle cell membrane, thereby telling about metabolic activity in the cell, but it is also very much related to the thickness of the cell membrane (Ivorra 2003; Dodde et al. 2012; Tan et al. 2012). Ri, has been directly related to VO_{max}, the maximal aerobic capacity, and thereby oxygen consumption of the muscle cells (Stahn et al. 2006, 2008). Mc and Ri together will therefore give an indication of metabolic activity without any invasive and tedious measurements. Re and R provide an insight into the local hydration of a muscle, high values representing a relatively hypotonic state around the muscle cells (Ivorra 2003), while low values are associated with injury and swollen tissue (Nescolarde et al. 2013b, 2015). Phase angle has been used as a means of assessing changes in individuals with different body mass, representing a ratio of R and Xc (Ivorra 2003; Bartels et al. 2015).

The overall aim of this study was to apply mfBIA fully in the assessment of an adult healthy population, to compare muscle condition in different functional rested muscle groups, with age, and between men and women,

thereby establishing a control data set. The hypotheses tested were therefore; (1) age affects muscle fiber condition; and (2) there is a gender difference in the physiological condition of resting muscle.

Materials and Methods

Ethics approval

The methods applied were non-invasive apart from a standard blood test. The study followed the guidelines set by the Helsinki Declaration 2013 (<http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/>), and the subjects gave their informed written consent prior to participating in this study. The data set comprises part of a much larger study on physical performance in healthy adults. The study was approved by the Capital Region of Denmark's Ethics Committee (H-15017787) and was registered with the Danish Data Protection Agency.

Subjects

10 healthy subjects, 5 men and 5 women, in each age decade from 20 to 69 years of age, in total 50 subjects, participated in this study. Recruitment happened via advertising and word of mouth. Measurements took place at the Parker Institute, Copenhagen University Hospital, Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg, Denmark. Inclusion criteria for this study were, (1) age between 20 and 69 years; (2) $18.5 < \text{Body Mass Index (BMI)} < 30$; (3) healthy according to assessment by a series of blood test and a physical examination by a physician (see Table 1) prior to measurements (analyses carried out at Bispebjerg & Frederiksberg Hospitals, Clinical Chemistry Dept, as routine tests); (4) no chronic or present illness; (5) no intake of medicine except birth control pills; and (5) pain reported in the normal range and pattern for healthy subjects through answers to the PainDETECT Questionnaire (PD-Q) ©2005Pfizer Pharma GmbH (Freynhagen et al. 2006) prior to participation.

Subjects were excluded if they were outside the defined age range or could not understand instructions in the Danish language, or indeed did not comply with the inclusion criteria.

Measurements

mfBIA measurements were taken from the lower extremities; *m. Gastrocnemius*, *m. Rectus femoris*, *m. Tibialis anterior* and *m. Vastus lateralis*, as well as from the upper extremities; *m. Biceps* and *m. Triceps*, and from the upper back; *m. Trapezius*. In addition, *m. Abductor pollicis brevis* of the hand was also measured.

Table 1. A list of the blood tests taken for the assessment of the participants as being healthy individuals

1	Glycated Hemoglobin-c (HbA1c)
2	Alanine-transaminase
3	Alkaline Phosphatase
4	C-Reactive Protein (CRP)
5	Erythrocytes
6	Erythrocyte volume fraction (EVOL)
7	Erythrocyte volume Mean (MCV)
8	Hamoglobin (Hb)
9	Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC)
10	Potassium
11	Creatinine
12	Leucocytes
13	Leucocyte type and group (DIFFMAS)
14	Sodium
15	Reticulocyte group
16	Thrombocytes

The mfbIA measurements were undertaken using an ImpediMed Inc tetra-polar bioimpedance spectroscopy unit (Impedimed, Pinkenba, Qld, Australia) with matching ImpediMed electrodes according to the firm's recommendations. These measurements were made at rest, that is the subject arrived without having carried out strenuous physical activity for 24 h prior to measurement.

mfbIA measurements were made in a standing position, ensuring that the subject was kept free of all metal and human contact. In each case, the four electrodes were placed onto the identified muscle sites, two at the insertion and two at the origin, as documented as being optimal (Nescolarde *et al.* 2013a,b). For all electrode placements, the outer two electrodes provided the electrical field (Alternating Current), and the inner pair was used as sensing electrodes (Voltage). Measurements were carried out over a frequency range of 4–1000 kHz, applying 256 different frequencies which were plotted in real-time as a Cole-Cole plot (Ivorra 2003; Grimnes and Martinsen 2008). These values, as well as the Cole-Cole plot, could be seen for each subject as they were made, ensuring accurate data collection.

The overall sequence of testing involved (1) answering the PainDetect Questionnaire (Freynhagen *et al.* 2006) and a general health questionnaire concerning medicine and chronic disease; (2) a blood test, as well as weight, height and handedness measurement; (3) acceptance as being healthy by a clinician; and (4) mfbIA measurements at rest.

Data handling

The bioimpedance data were analyzed using the ImpediMed Inc software (Impedimed, Pinkenba, Qld, Australia). Initially, the Cole-Cole plot was analyzed to assess

its normal distribution, and subsequently both the R and Xc plots were examined to ensure that a correct recording had been obtained. Following this, the Center Frequency (fc) and the Extracellular Resistance (Re) were determined from the Cole-Cole plot, and intracellular Ri was calculated from the formula: $R_i = (R_e \times R_{\infty} / (R_e - R_{\infty}))$. Membrane Capacitance (M_c) was also calculated from the formula: $fc = 1 / (2\pi \times M_c \times (R_e + R_i))$. A detailed analysis was finally performed at 50 kHz with measurement of Resistance (R) and Reactance (Xc), and a calculation of the Impedance (Z), where $Z = \text{Square Root } (R^2 + Xc^2)$. Finally, in accordance with other studies (Bartels *et al.* 2015; Harrison *et al.* 2015), and so as to be able to compare between individuals of different body mass, the Phase Angle (PA) was calculated: $PA = \arctan (Xc/R)$ with units in degrees. The mfbIA parameters were interpreted in terms of muscle mass (Z, R), energy storage capacity/fiber size (Xc), hydration status (R, Re), tissue density/resting tension (fc), membrane activity/integrity (M_c) and metabolic activity (Ri) (Bartels *et al.* 2015; Harrison *et al.* 2015).

Statistical analysis

Changes in mfbIA parameters with age were tested by comparing the two youngest groups (years 20 + 30) pooled with the two oldest groups (years 50 + 60) pooled for men and women, respectively. This was done to get enough power, since we were limited to 50 participants in this study. Data were initially tested for a normal distribution and equal variance. Subsequently data were analyzed using a two-tailed unpaired *t*-test (Armitage *et al.* 2001) when normally distributed. Where normal distribution was not found, a Mann–Whitney test (Mann and Whitney 1947) was applied.

Gender differences of the mfbIA parameters were tested using the Mann–Whitney test (Mann and Whitney 1947) since a few of the data sets were not found to be normally distributed. Where percentage change is shown, the mean value for the men was taken as being the baseline.

The statistical software used was GraphPad InStat 3 for Mac (Version 3.0b, 2003; Graph-Pad Inc., La Jolla, CA). A *P* value >0.05 was considered nonsignificant. Values are presented as mean \pm the standard deviation of the mean.

Results

Population

An overview of the 50 healthy subjects can be seen in Table 2, where their age, height, weight and body mass index (BMI) are detailed. All the blood tests showed

Table 2. A description of the healthy population participating in this study. All values are given as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Weight is given in kilograms (kg) and height in meters (m)

Age group	Men				Women			
	Age (years)	Weight (kg)	Height (m)	BMI	Age (years)	Weight (kg)	Height (m)	BMI
20–29 years	28 \pm 1	90 \pm 1	1.86 \pm 0.07	26.0 \pm 1.7	25 \pm 4	64 \pm 1	1.71 \pm 0.06	21.8 \pm 1.1
30–39 years	35 \pm 3	90 \pm 11	1.85 \pm 0.04	26.0 \pm 2.8	35 \pm 5	63 \pm 6	1.69 \pm 0.07	22.0 \pm 4.3
40–49 years	44 \pm 5	90 \pm 8	1.83 \pm 0.01	26.8 \pm 2.2	44 \pm 3	62 \pm 1	1.65 \pm 0.06	22.7 \pm 2.0
50–59 years	53 \pm 3	83 \pm 19	1.81 \pm 0.10	25.5 \pm 3.2	54 \pm 2	67 \pm 11	1.69 \pm 0.04	23.4 \pm 4.1
60–69 years	65 \pm 3	72 \pm 8	1.76 \pm 0.03	23.3 \pm 2.7	62 \pm 2	69 \pm 18	1.65 \pm 0.03	25.4 \pm 5.4

values in the normal range, as did the pain detect questionnaire.

mfBIA measurements

The parameters measured in this study were: Impedance (Z), Resistance (R), Center Frequency (fc), Phase Angle (PA), Intracellular Resistance (Ri), Membrane Capacitance (Mc), Extracellular Resistance (Re), and Reactance (Xc).

The effect of age on mfBIA parameters

Due to the limited number of participants, the effect of age was tested by comparing the pooled data from the two youngest groups against the pooled data from the two oldest groups. For the gastrocnemius muscle there were measurements for both left and right legs. The data therefore represent 20 recordings in each group.

Table 3 shows the effect of age on the mfBIA parameters for all eight of the measured muscles. No significant effect of age was seen for any of the mfBIA parameters in any of the muscles, despite their diverse functions. This allowed us to pool data from all women and compare them with data from all men when looking at gender differences.

The effect of gender on mfBIA parameters

It was found that for all the muscles included in this study there were highly significant changes in the mfBIA parameters with regards to gender (Table 3).

The center frequency (fc), extracellular resistance (Re), intracellular resistance (Ri), resistance (R) and impedance (Z) were found on average to be significantly 33%, 37%, 174%, 55%, and 52% higher in women than in men, respectively. However, the reactance (Xc), membrane capacitance (Mc) and phase angle (PA) were found to be on average 19%, 44% and 41% lower in women than in men, respectively.

Reference data for healthy adult subjects for the mfBIA parameters

For reference purposes, the mfBIA values for each muscle and for both men and women are listed below as mean \pm SD where $n = 25$ for each value. Z, R, Xc, Ri and Re are given in Ω ; fc is given in kHz; PA is given in ($^{\circ}$); Mc is given in pF.

Arm muscles

m. Biceps

The mfBIA values for men were as follows; Z 86.4 \pm 19.2; R 84.2 \pm 20.2; fc 42.3 \pm 6.4; PA 13.1 \pm 5.1; Ri 140.8 \pm 84.6; Re 114.0 \pm 19.2; Xc 18.1 \pm 3.7; Mc 17.5 \pm 7.6. The mfBIA values for women were as follows; Z 133.0 \pm 27.6; R 131.9 \pm 28.1; fc 58.1 \pm 8.0; PA 7.3 \pm 3.1; Ri 372.4 \pm 211.7; Re 154.9 \pm 26.9; Xc 15.7 \pm 4.3; Mc 6.4 \pm 3.0.

m. Triceps

The mfBIA values for men were as follows; Z 102.8 \pm 19.4; R 101.4 \pm 20.1; fc 30.9 \pm 6.3; PA 9.1 \pm 3.9; Ri 258.9 \pm 123.0; Re 131.8 \pm 18.5; Xc 15.2 \pm 4.1; Mc 15.5 \pm 6.6. The mfBIA values for women were as follows; Z 154.1 \pm 31.2; R 153.5 \pm 31.4; fc 63.3 \pm 95.4; PA 4.8 \pm 2.1; Ri 573.4 \pm 288.4; Re 195.9 \pm 116.0; Xc 12.5 \pm 4.1; Mc 11.3 \pm 28.6.

Hand muscle

m. Abductor pollicis brevis

The mfBIA values for men were as follows; Z 42.8 \pm 6.4; R 42.2 \pm 6.2; fc 73.5 \pm 14.1; PA 8.8 \pm 1.9; Ri 78.6 \pm 20.7; Re 50.6 \pm 9.1; Xc 6.6 \pm 2.0; Mc 17.8 \pm 4.0. The mfBIA values for women were as follows; Z 52.2 \pm 25.8; R 51.7 \pm 25.8; fc 95.9 \pm 19.8; PA 7.3 \pm 1.9; Ri 133.9 \pm 214.7; Re 59.2 \pm 27.1; Xc 6.3 \pm 1.9; Mc 11.6 \pm 3.7.

Table 3. mBIA parameters analyzed for significance with regards to gender (men vs. women) and with regard to age (20+30 years vs. 50+60 years)

	Z	R	fc	PA	Ri	Re	Xc	Mc
Arm								
<i>m. Biceps</i>								
Women versus men	↑54% $P < 0.001$	↑56% $P < 0.001$	↑37% $P < 0.001$	↓44% $P < 0.001$	↑164% $P < 0.001$	↑36% $P < 0.001$	↓13% $P < 0.05$	↓63% $P < 0.001$
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>m. Triceps</i>								
Women versus men	↑50% $P < 0.001$	↑51% $P < 0.001$	NS	↓46% $P < 0.001$	↑121% $P < 0.001$	↑48% $P < 0.01$	↓18% $P < 0.05$	↓27% NS
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Hand								
<i>m. Abductor pollicis</i>								
Women versus men	NS	NS	↑30% $P < 0.001$	↓17% $P < 0.001$	NS	NS	NS	↓35% $P < 0.001$
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Shoulder								
<i>m. Trapezius</i>								
Women versus men	↑15% $P < 0.01$	↑16% $P < 0.001$	↑40% $P < 0.001$	↓16% $P < 0.05$	↑33% $P < 0.05$	↑8% $P < 0.05$	NS	↓27% $P < 0.001$
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Leg								
<i>m. Rectus femoris</i>								
Women versus Men	↑69% $P < 0.001$	↑71% $P < 0.001$	↑62% $P < 0.001$	↓52% $P < 0.001$	↑190% $P < 0.001$	↑50% $P < 0.001$	↓14% $P < 0.01$	↓45% $P < 0.05$
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>m. Vastus lateralis</i>								
Women versus men	↑80% $P < 0.001$	↑85% $P < 0.001$	↑17% $P < 0.01$	↓63% $P < 0.001$	↑311% $P < 0.001$	↑48% $P < 0.001$	↓32% $P < 0.001$	↓69% $P < 0.001$
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>m. Gastrocnemius</i>								
Women versus men	↑49% $P < 0.001$	↑52% $P < 0.001$	↑26% $P < 0.01$	↓47% $P < 0.001$	↑197% $P < 0.001$	↑36% $P < 0.001$	↓22% $P < 0.001$	↓46% $P < 0.01$
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<i>m. Tibialis anterior</i>								
Women versus men	↑53% $P < 0.001$	↑57% $P < 0.001$	↑23% $P < 0.01$	↓43% $P < 0.001$	↑204% $P < 0.001$	↑36% $P < 0.001$	↓16% $P < 0.01$	↓46% $P < 0.001$
20 + 30 versus 50 + 60	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Shoulder muscle

m. Trapezius

The mfbIA values for men were as follows; Z 71.7 ± 15.4 ; R 70.4 ± 15.9 ; fc 45.1 ± 7.2 ; PA 10.6 ± 4.6 ; Ri 144.5 ± 90.9 ; Re 90.8 ± 15.1 ; Xc 12.3 ± 3.6 ; Mc 18.2 ± 8.5 . The mfbIA values for women were as follows; Z 82.7 ± 17.4 ; R 81.7 ± 17.8 ; fc 63.2 ± 18.9 ; PA 8.9 ± 3.7 ; Ri 193.0 ± 148.0 ; Re 98.5 ± 17.7 ; Xc 12.0 ± 3.7 ; Mc 13.3 ± 12.1 .

Leg muscles

m. Rectus femoris

The mfbIA values for men were as follows; Z 83.1 ± 16.1 ; R 81.7 ± 16.6 ; fc 33.2 ± 4.2 ; PA 10.5 ± 3.5 ; Ri 173.9 ± 73.5 ; Re 108.5 ± 17.0 ; Xc 14.4 ± 2.5 ; Mc 18.8 ± 6.0 . The mfbIA values for women were as follows; Z 140.9 ± 19.2 ; R 140.4 ± 19.1 ; fc 53.7 ± 69.3 ; PA 5.0 ± 1.2 ; Ri 505.1 ± 164.2 ; Re 163.3 ± 23.9 ; Xc 12.3 ± 3.4 ; Mc 10.2 ± 19.7 .

m. Vastus lateralis

The mfbIA values for men were as follows; Z 68.9 ± 15.9 ; R 66.6 ± 16.4 ; fc 31.8 ± 4.2 ; PA 15.1 ± 4.4 ; Ri 106.1 ± 65.7 ; Re 98.2 ± 18.3 ; Xc 17.1 ± 3.4 ; Mc 27.4 ± 7.8 . The mfbIA values for women were as follows; Z 124.0 ± 23.0 ; R 123.4 ± 23.0 ; fc 37.3 ± 8.4 ; PA 5.5 ± 2.0 ; Ri 436.7 ± 155.6 ; Re 146.0 ± 27.2 ; Xc 11.6 ± 3.9 ; Mc 8.4 ± 3.6 .

m. Gastrocnemius

The mfbIA values for men were as follows; Z 68.2 ± 13.4 ; R 66.6 ± 13.3 ; fc 52.5 ± 7.9 ; PA 12.2 ± 2.8 ; Ri 100.3 ± 38.1 ; Re 85.6 ± 16.9 ; Xc 14.2 ± 3.8 ; Mc 17.7 ± 4.8 . The mfbIA values for women were as follows; Z 102.1 ± 20.6 ; R 101.5 ± 20.6 ; fc 66.5 ± 20.3 ; PA 6.4 ± 1.7 ; Ri 298.5 ± 116.7 ; Re 116.6 ± 22.4 ; Xc 11.1 ± 2.8 ; Mc 9.5 ± 13.9 .

m. Tibialis anterior

The mfbIA values for men were as follows; Z 59.3 ± 8.0 ; R 57.5 ± 7.8 ; fc 48.4 ± 5.2 ; PA 14.0 ± 1.8 ; Ri 72.8 ± 18.4 ; Re 78.6 ± 10.6 ; Xc 14.3 ± 2.4 ; Mc 22.6 ± 4.5 . The mfbIA values for women were as follows; Z 91.1 ± 20.5 ; R 90.2 ± 20.6 ; fc 59.7 ± 16.3 ; PA 7.9 ± 2.5 ; Ri 221.7 ± 105.7 ; Re 107.4 ± 22.3 ; Xc 12.1 ± 2.8 ; Mc 12.2 ± 12.8 .

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge this is the first study of its kind to assess muscle condition with mfbIA of a number of diverse skeletal muscles in healthy subjects of both genders, spanning an age range of 20–69 years, the normal span of most individuals' working life.

Effect of age on mfbIA parameters and the condition of the muscles

With age, our hypothesis was that muscle condition changed with increasing years. Contrary to this, in both men and women, age did not show an effect on mfbIA values for muscles in this healthy population aged over the range 20–69 years. Looking at the parameters describing a healthy structure of a muscle, Z and R, these indicate that although whole muscle atrophy may occur with increasing age, and it is known that muscle strength (Danneskiold-Samsoe et al. 2009) decreases with age, the muscle fibers comprising the individual muscles are in themselves structurally healthy. This is further supported by the fact that both the Xc and the PA values describing fiber size and/or cellular energy storage (Tonkovic et al. 2000; Lukaski 2013; Nescolarde et al. 2013a), thereby expressing general cellular health, remain unchanged. There were no signs of differences in resting tension (fc) with age (Elbrønd et al. 2015), nor any difference in membrane capacitance (Mc), or in the intracellular resistance (Ri), which has been shown to be associated with cellular oxygen use (metabolism) (Stahn et al. 2006, 2008).

Effect of gender on mfbIA parameters and the condition of the muscles

We hypothesized that there would be a gender difference in the physiological condition of resting muscle. Our findings did indeed show a very interesting pattern that proved to be highly significant for all the muscles measured.

Our data clearly indicate that women have a denser muscle cell structure (higher Z and R), and that women's muscle cells at rest operate with a higher metabolic activity (Ri), compared to that of men. This is supported by a lower Mc, indicating more membrane activity and possibly also a thinner membrane (Dodde et al. 2012; Tan et al. 2012), as supported by the observed higher center frequency (fc), suggesting a higher tension in women's muscles at rest compared with those of men. This study has also revealed that the extracellular resistance (Re) was higher for women than for men. This would suggest that women's muscles are not only denser, but there is less fluid around the cellular matrix, compared to men's muscles. This would cause a slower removal of waste products

(i.e. lactate) and accordingly a slower transport of nutrients (i.e. glucose) from the blood to the active muscle cells. The lower PA, which is a combination of the Xc parameter divided by the R parameter, follows the trend observed for these two parameters, such that the PA is lower for women than for men. Women's muscles being at rest more energetically active (higher Ri) fits well with the observations of a higher R and Re, and a lower Mc. How then are these findings integrated with what is currently understood about muscle and gender differences?

Looking at anatomical differences between men and women, Edama and colleagues (2017) showed gender-based differences in the attachment and position of the muscle and fascia on the tibia. This most likely explains some of the reason for the higher incidence of medial tibial stress syndrome in women athletes compared with men. Another study (Otsuka *et al.* 2018) showed gender-specific differences in the morphological as well as the mechanical properties of the fascia lata. These authors noted at the medial site, that the distribution of the fiber direction and the elastic properties of the fascia lata were gender-specific, with women showing more elastic fascia (Otsuka *et al.* 2018). Combining these findings with our mfBIA results, one can extrapolate that women have stiffer muscle cells, but softer fascia, compared to men.

In the present study it was consistently shown that the fc was higher for women than for men for every muscle measured. It has previously been shown that treatment inducing muscle relaxation causes a decrease in the fc parameter (Elbrønd *et al.* 2015). Likewise, any change in cell size will have an effect on the membrane capacitance (Dodde *et al.* 2012).

Further support to the found gender difference can be found in a human cadaver study looking at the proportion of the longitudinal directed fibers in fascia lata showed that they were higher in men than in women (Otsuka *et al.* 2018). Moreover, the stiffness and the Young's modulus of the fascia lata of females was higher in the transverse direction but lower in the longitudinal direction than that of males (Otsuka *et al.* 2018). Conversely, the medial site showed a higher longitudinal stiffness (N/mm) in females *cf* males. Although this study was carried out on formalin-fixed cadavers, these differences must still hold. Together with the mfBIA results, this observation lends weight to the finding that women have a higher resting tension in their muscles in order to compensate for a more elastic fascia *cf* men.

Importance of the present mfBIA data

The lack of age differences in mfBIA parameters seen in this study indicates that in a generally healthy population, the muscle cellular health is good despite a

decrease in muscle strength with age (Danneskiold-Samsoe *et al.* 2009). The gender difference found in this study clearly has implications for the frequency and intensity of the training performed by female as opposed to male athletes, which should be taken into consideration when designing training programs. Likewise, in all work situations (e.g. nursing and care workers, heavy manual workers, computer users) the gender difference must be considered in terms of the long-term loading on the musculoskeletal system. It must also be taken into account when designing rehabilitation programs and programs aimed at maintaining strength and balance in elderly subjects.

Conclusion and perspectives

In healthy subjects, up to the age of 70 years, it is shown that the quality of skeletal muscles does not change despite some decrease in muscle strength. However, a clear gender difference in muscle tension and metabolic properties have now been revealed. This finding has implications for not only how to remain healthy and functional at work and with increasing age, but also in competitive sports and when designing programs for physical rehabilitation.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the healthy volunteers who kindly gave of their time to help complete this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors know of no conflicts of interest in connection with this study.

References

- Armitage, P., G. Berry, and J. N. S. Matthews. 2001. *Statistical methods in medical science*, 4th ed. Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- Arnold, P., and I. Bautmans. 2014. The influence of strength training on muscle activation in elderly persons: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Exp. Gerontol.* 58:58–68.
- Bajaj, P., P. Madeleine, G. Sjogaard, and L. Arendt-Nielsen. 2002. Assessment of postexercise muscle soreness by electromyography and mechanomyography. *J. Pain* 3:126–136.
- Bartels, E. M., E. R. Sorensen, and A. P. Harrison. 2015. Multi-frequency bioimpedance in human muscle assessment. *Physiol. Rep.* 3:e12354.
- Bernardi, M., F. Felici, M. Marchetti, F. Montellanico, M. F. Piacentini, and M. Solomonow. 1999. Force generation

- performance and motor unit recruitment strategy in muscles of contralateral limbs. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol.* 9:121–130.
- Bousquet-Santos, K., M. Vaisman, N. D. Barreto, R. A. Cruz-Filho, B. A. Salvador, W. R. Frontera, et al. 2006. Resistance training improves muscle function and body composition in patients with hyperthyroidism. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 87:1123–1130.
- Chung, C. L., S. Thilarajah, and D. Tan. 2015. Effectiveness of resistance training on muscle strength and physical function in people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Rehabil.* 30:11–23.
- Colombo, I., M. Scoto, A. Y. Manzur, S. A. Robb, L. Maggi, V. Gowda, et al. 2015. Congenital myopathies: natural history of a large pediatric cohort. *Neurology* 84:28–35.
- Costa, A. M., L. Breitenfeld, A. J. Silva, A. Pereira, M. Izquierdo, and M. C. Marques. 2012. Genetic inheritance effects on endurance and muscle strength: an update. *Sports Med.* 42:449–458.
- Danneskiold-Samsoe, B., E. M. Bartels, P. M. Bulow, H. Lund, A. Stockmarr, C. C. Holm, et al. 2009. Isokinetic and isometric muscle strength in a healthy population with special reference to age and gender. *Acta Physiol.* 197(Suppl 673):1–68.
- Davis, K. M., K. S. Griffin, T. G. Chu, J. C. Wenke, B. T. Corona, T. O. McKinley, et al. 2015. Muscle-bone interactions during fracture healing. *J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact.* 15:1–9.
- Dodde, R. E., J. L. Bull, and A. J. Shih. 2012. Bioimpedance of soft tissue under compression. *Physiol. Meas.* 33:1095–1109.
- Edama, M., H. Onishi, M. Kubo, T. Takabayashi, E. Yokoyama, T. Inai, et al. 2017. Gender differences of muscle and crural fascia origins in relation to the occurrence of medial tibial stress syndrome. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. Sports* 27:203–208.
- Elbrønd, V. S., M. J. Krasnodebska, and A. P. Harrison. 2015. Multi-frequency bioimpedance and myofascial release therapy: an equine 'AtlasOrangel' validation study. *Med. Res. Arch.* 1:1–14.
- Felin, E. M., S. Prahalad, E. W. Askew, and L. J. Moyer-Mileur. 2007. Musculoskeletal abnormalities of the tibia in juvenile rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 56:984–994.
- Fiatarone, M. A., E. C. Marks, N. D. Ryan, C. N. Meredith, L. A. Lipsitz, and W. J. Evans. 1990. High-intensity strength training in nonagenarians. Effects on skeletal muscle. *JAMA* 263:3029–3034.
- Freynhagen, R., R. Baron, U. Gockel, and T. R. Tolle. 2006. painDETECT: a new screening questionnaire to identify neuropathic components in patients with back pain. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* 22:1911–1920.
- Garatachea, N., and A. Lucia. 2013. Genes and the ageing muscle: a review on genetic association studies. *Age* 35:207–233.
- Gerdle, B., S. Karlsson, A. G. Crenshaw, and J. Friden. 1997. The relationships between EMG and muscle morphology throughout sustained static knee extension at two submaximal force levels. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 160:341–351.
- Giordani, L., and P. L. Puri. 2013. Epigenetic control of skeletal muscle regeneration: integrating genetic determinants and environmental changes. *FEBS J.* 280:4014–4025.
- Grimnes, S., and O. G. Martinsen. 2008. *Bioimpedance and bioelectricity basics*, 2nd ed. Academic Press, Elsevier Ltd, Oxford.
- Hall, M., C. B. Juhl, H. Lund, and J. B. Thorlund. 2015. Knee extensor muscle strength in middle-aged and older individuals undergoing arthroscopic partial meniscectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 67:1289–1296.
- Harrison, A. P., S. Mølsted, J. Pingel, H. Langberg, and E. M. Bartels. 2012. Clinical implications of muscle-tendon interplay and force interplay: surface electromyography recordings of *m. vastus lateralis* in renal failure patients undergoing dialysis and of *m. gastrocnemius* in individuals with Achilles tendon damage. chapter 5, pp. 65–88 in M. Schwartz, ed. *EMG methods for evaluating muscle and nerve function*. Intech Press, Rijeka.
- Harrison, A. P., B. Danneskiold-Samsoe, and E. M. Bartels. 2013. Portable acoustic myography - a realistic noninvasive method for assessment of muscle activity and coordination in human subjects in most home and sports settings. *Physiol. Rep.* 1:e00029.
- Harrison, A. P., V. S. Elbrønd, K. Riis-Olesen, and E. M. Bartels. 2015. Multi-frequency bioimpedance in equine muscle assessment. *Physiol. Meas.* 36:453–464.
- Henriksen, M., H. Lund, R. Christensen, A. Jespersen, L. Dreyer, R. M. Bennett, et al. 2009. Relationships between the fibromyalgia impact questionnaire, tender point count, and muscle strength in female patients with fibromyalgia: a cohort study. *Arthritis Rheum.* 61:732–739.
- Hermens, H. J., B. Freriks, R. Merletti, D. Stegeman, J. Blok, J. Rau, et al. 1999. SENIAM 8 - European recommendations for surface electromyography Roessingh Research and Development b.v., Enschede.
- Ivorra, A. 2003. Bioimpedance monitoring for physicians: an overview. Centre National de Microelectronica, Barcelona.
- Jones, J., and R. Wortmann. 2015. Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies-a review. *Clin. Rheumatol.* 34:839–844.
- Karlsson, S., and B. Gerdle. 2001. Mean frequency and signal amplitude of the surface EMG of the quadriceps muscles increase with increasing torque - a study using the continuous wavelet transform. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol.* 11:131–140.
- Kasper, C. E. 2011. Skeletal muscle and genetics. *Annu. Rev. Nurs. Res.* 29:191–203.
- Landi, F., R. Liperoti, A. Russo, S. Giovannini, M. Tosato, E. Capoluongo, et al. 2012. Sarcopenia as a risk factor for falls in elderly individuals: results from the iSIRENTE study. *Clin. Nutr.* 31:652–658.

- Landi, F., R. Calvani, M. Cesari, M. Tosato, A. M. Martone, R. Bernabei, et al. 2015. Sarcopenia as the biological substrate of physical frailty. *Clin. Geriatr. Med.* 31:367–374.
- Larsson, B., F. Kadi, B. Lindvall, and B. Gerdle. 2006. Surface electromyography and peak torque of repetitive maximum isokinetic plantar flexions in relation to aspects of muscle morphology. *J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol.* 16:281–290.
- de Luca, C. J. 1997. The use of surface electromyography in biomechanics. *JAB* 13:135–163.
- Lukaski, H. C. 2013. Evolution of bioimpedance: a circuitous journey from estimation of physiological function to assessment of body composition and a return to clinical research. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 67(Suppl 1):S2–S9.
- Mann, H. B., and D. R. Whitney. 1947. On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than the other. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 18:50–60.
- Moon, J. R., S. E. Tobkin, M. D. Roberts, V. J. Dalbo, C. M. Kerksick, M. G. Bemben, et al. 2008. Total body water estimations in healthy men and women using bioimpedance spectroscopy: a deuterium oxide comparison. *Nutr. Metab.* 5:7.
- Nescolarde, L., A. Núñez, P. Bogóñez-Franco, A. Lara, G. Villant, R. Morales, et al. 2013a. Reference values of the bioimpedance vector components in a Carrabean population. *e-SPEN J.* 8:e141–e144.
- Nescolarde, L., J. Yanguas, H. Lukaski, X. Alomar, J. Rosell-Ferrer, and G. Rodas. 2013b. Localized bioimpedance to assess muscle injury. *Physiol. Meas.* 34:237–245.
- Nescolarde, L., J. Yanguas, H. Lukaski, X. Alomar, J. Rosell-Ferrer, and G. Rodas. 2015. Effects of muscle injury severity on localized bioimpedance measurements. *Physiol. Meas.* 36:27–42.
- Otsuka, S., T. Yakura, M. Ohmichi, M. Naito, T. Nakano, and Y. Kawakami. 2018. Site specificity of mechanical and structural properties of human fascia lata and their gender differences: a cadaveric study. *J. Biomechanics* 77:69–75.
- van Praagh, E., and E. Doré. 2002. short-term muscle power during growth and maturation. *Sports Med.* 32:701–728.
- Stahn, A., E. Terblanche, S. Grunert, and G. Strobel. 2006. Estimation of maximal oxygen uptake by bioelectrical impedance analysis. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 96:265–273.
- Stahn, A., G. Strobel, and E. Terblanche. 2008. VO(2max) prediction from multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis. *Physiol. Meas.* 29:193–203.
- Stecco, A., W. Gilliar, R. Hill, B. Fullerton, and C. Stecco. 2013. The anatomical and functional relation between gluteus maximus and fascia lata. *J. Bodyw. Mov. Ther.* 17:512–517.
- Tan, Q., G. A. Ferrier, B. K. Chen, C. Wang, and Y. Sun. 2012. Quantification of the specific membrane capacitance of single cells using a microfluidic device and impedance spectroscopy measurement. *Biomicrofluidics* 6:34112.
- Tonkovic, S., I. Tonkovic, and D. Kovacic. 2000. Bioelectric impedance analysis of lower leg ischaemic muscles. *IEEE Conf. Publ.* 1:757–760.
- Van der Aa Kuhle, K. S., P. Johnson, K. E. Andrews, A. J. Cawdell-Smith, W. L. Bryden, and L. C. Ward. 2006. Application of bioimpedance for the determination of equine body composition. In *Australian Equine Science Symposium Gold Coast* 59.
- Winters, J. D., and K. S. Rudolph. 2014. Quadriceps rate of force development affects gait and function in people with knee osteoarthritis. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 114:273–284.